



A Concise Proof of the Legendre's Conjecture

ZHANG YUE

Department of Physics, Hunan Normal University, Hunan, P. R. China.

Abstract

Adrien-Marie Legendre proposed the Legendre's conjecture about 200 years ago, but no one has given a satisfactory proof of it. The paper proves this conjecture with two steps: at first, from $n^2=1$ to a very big positive integer, the paper tests them one by one, and demonstrates that the conjecture is always true for all of them. The second step, when n^2 is a very big integer, because the prime number theorem can be applied to all the big positive integers, using the theorem, the paper rigorously proves that there must be at least one prime p such that $n^2 < p < (n+1)^2$. Therefore, the paper proves the Legendre's conjecture.



Article History

Received: 09 December 2024

Accepted: 15 January 2025

Keywords:

Big number;
Legendre's conjecture;
L Hospital's law;
Prime Number Theorem;
 $N_1 > N_2$.

Introduction

Although the Legendre's conjecture is less famous than some other conjectures such as the Goldbach's conjecture, the Fermat's last theorem, the Riemann's conjecture and so on, it is also a puzzling problem which has not been solved by mathematicians all over the world. The Legendre's conjecture was proposed by Adrien-Marie Legendre in the time between 1752 and 1833, but no one has given satisfactory proof of it. From a long time ago to recent years, the Legendre's conjecture has become one of the interesting topics in the fields of mathematics.¹⁻⁵ Although people published a lot of papers about the Legendre's conjecture, their theories are complex and not easy for people to understand. The previous proofs on the Legendre's conjecture have not been satisfactorily accepted by the mathematicians all over the world. Using the very simple mathematical

theory, this paper will present a concise proof of the Legendre's conjecture.

Materials and Method

The Legendre's conjecture can be described as the following theorem.

Theorem: With respect to an arbitrary integer $n \geq 1$, there must be a prime p such that $n^2 < p < (n+1)^2$.

The Proof:

First, if the integer n^2 is not very big, it is easy to test them one by one, for example,

When $n = 1$, $(n+1)^2 = 4$, there are primes 2 and 3 such that $1 < 2, 3 < 4$. Successively, there are primes 5 and 7 such that $2^2 < 5, 7 < 3^2$. Similarly, $3^2 < 11, 13 < 4^2$; $4^2 < 17, 19, 23 < 5^2$; $5^2 < 29, 31 < 6^2$;

CONTACT Zhang Yue ✉ phys_zhangyue@126.com 📍 Department of Physics, Hunan Normal University, Hunan, P. R. China.



© 2025 The Author(s). Published by Oriental Scientific Publishing Company

This is an Open Access article licensed under a Creative Commons license: Attribution 4.0 International (CC-BY).

Doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.13005/OJPS10.01.09>

$6^2 < 37, 41, 43, 47 < 7^2$; $7^2 < 53, 59, 61 < 8^2$; $8^2 < 67, 71, 73, 79 < 9^2$;
 $9^2 < 83, 89, 97 < 10^2$; $10^2 < 101, 103, 107, 109, 113 < 11^2$;
 $11^2 < 127, 131, 137, 139 < 12^2$; $12^2 < 149, 151,$
 $157, 163, 167 < 13^2$; $13^2 < 173, 179, 181, 191, 193 < 14^2$;
 $14^2 < 197, 199, 211, 223 < 15^2$; $15^2 < 227, 229, 233, 239,$
 $241, 251 < 16^2$; when $n=16$, $16^2 < 257, 263, 269, 271,$
 $277, 281, 283 < 17^2$; $17^2 < 293, 307, 311, 313, 317 < 18^2$;
 $18^2 < 331, 337, 347, 349, 353, 359 < 19^2$; $19^2 < 367, 373,$
 $379, 383, 389, 397 < 20^2$; when $n=20$, $20^2 < 401, 409,$
 $419, 421, 431, 433, 439 < 21^2$; $21^2 < 443, 449, 457,$
 $461, 463, 467, 479 < 22^2$; $22^2 < 487, 491, 499, 503, 509,$
 $521, 523 < 23^2$; when $n=23$, $23^2 < 541, 547, 557, 563,$
 $569, 571 < 24^2$; when $n=24$, $24^2 < 577, 587, 593, 599,$
 $601, 607, 613, 617, 619 < 25^2$, Up till to $n=315$,
 $n^2=99225$, $(n+1)^2 = 99856$, there are primes 99233,
99241, 99251, 99257, 99829, 99833, 99839 such that
 $99225 < 99233, 99241, 99251, 99257, 99829, 99833,$
 $99839 < 99856$. The Legendre's conjecture is true for
all of the above examples.

Anyway, if the big number $n^2 > 99856$, it is reasonable to
 use the prime number theorem for the proof, because
 this theorem is very correct for big positive integers.
 According to the prime number theorem,⁶⁻⁷ when n
 is a big number, the number of primes smaller than
 n is $N = \frac{n}{\ln n}$.

Results

According to the prime number theorem, the number
 of primes smaller than $(n+1)^2$ is given by $N_1 = (n+1)^2 / \ln(n+1)^2$, the number of primes smaller than n^2 is given by $N = n^2 / \ln n^2$. When n^2 is very big, if $N_1 - N_2 > 0$ or $N_1 / N_2 > 1$, there must be a prime p such that $n^2 < p < (n+1)^2$. The case of all the integers bigger than n^2 can be approximately regarded as $n^2 \rightarrow \infty$, it is equivalent of that $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In consideration of $N_1 / N_2 = (n+1)^2 \ln n^2 / n^2 \ln(n+1)^2$ when
 n^2 is very big, or if $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)^2 \ln n^2}{n^2 \ln(n+1)^2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)^2 \ln n}{n^2 \ln(n+1)} > \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)^2 \ln n}{(n+1)^2 \ln(n+1)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{\ln(n+1)} \dots(1)$$

This is a limit of $\frac{\infty}{\infty}$ type, using the L. Hospital's law
 of solving the limit, respectively from the derivatives
 of the numerator and denominator of the fraction in
 eq. (1), eq. (1) becomes

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{\ln(n+1)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) = 1 \dots(2)$$

From eq. (1) and eq. (2) it demonstrates that when
 n^2 is very big or $n \rightarrow \infty$, $N_1 / N_2 > 1$, it has proven the
 Legendre's conjecture.

Discussion

With respect to the Legendre's conjecture, the paper
 proved that it is true for a lot of finite positive integers.
 Moreover, for infinite very big positive integers which,
 for example, are bigger than $n^2 > 99856$, they can be
 approximately regarded as $n^2 \rightarrow \infty$, or $n \rightarrow \infty$, the limit
 is a ∞ / ∞ type, using the prime number theorem and
 the L. Hospital's law, from eq. (1)

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{\ln(n+1)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{d \ln n}{dn}}{\frac{d \ln(n+1)}{dn}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\frac{1}{n}}{\frac{1}{n+1}} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n+1}{n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right) = 1 \dots(3)$$

it gets that $N_1 / N_2 > 1$, this demonstrates that there must
 be at least one prime p , such that $n^2 < p < (n+1)^2$, n is
 an arbitrary big positive integer, therefore, the paper
 has proven the Legendre's conjecture.

Conclusion

The paper proved the Legendre's conjecture by
 use of the prime number theorem. In the proof,
 because the prime number theorem holds true
 for big numbers, therefore, the paper tested the
 conjecture with small integers one by one from
 $n=1$, as shown as the paper presented in the front.
 But we cannot infinitely test all the integers, when
 the integer n^2 is big, according to the prime number
 theorem, the number of primes smaller than $(n+1)^2$
 is equal to $N_1 = (n+1)^2 / \ln(n+1)^2$; and the number of
 primes smaller than n^2 is equal to $N_2 = n^2 / \ln n^2$. It is
 easy to understand that if $N_1 - N_2 > 0$, or $N_1 / N_2 > 1$,
 there must be one or more primes between N_2 and
 N_1 , therefore, the Legendre's conjecture is true. The
 paper concisely proved this conjecture.

Acknowledgement

The author thanks Hunan Normal University (present
 address) provided an opportunity of research work to
 me, to let me be interesting in studying this subject.

Funding Sources

The author(s) received no financial support for the
 research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Conflict of Interest

The authors do not have any conflict of interest.

Data Availability Statement

This statement does not apply to this article.

Ethics Statement

This research did not involve human participants, animal subjects, or any material that requires ethical approval.

Informed Consent Statement

This study did not involve human participants, and therefore, informed consent was not required.

Author Contributions

The author finished all the works by himself.

References

1. Ghanwehi, J.. The Conception of Prime Number and the Legendre Conjecture. *International Frontier Science Letters*, 2015, 3, 16-18.
2. Garipov, I.. Legendre's Conjecture. Theorem on existence of a prime number between m^2 and $(m+1)^2$, arXiv: 2106.01319[math.GM], 2021-3. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2106.01319>.
3. Davis, S.. Legendre Conjecture. *International Journal of Mathematics and Statistics*, 2018, 19(1), 90-99.
4. Garella, N. A. Legendre Conjecture over Arithmetic Progressions. arXiv: 2403.19698 [math.GM], 2024. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2403.19698>.
5. Sazegar, G.. A Method for Solving Legendre's Conjecture. *Journal of Mathematics Research*, 2012, 4(1), 121.
6. Murry, M. R.. Problems in Analytic Number Theory. *Springer*, 2008, 35-51.
7. Littlewood, J.. The quickest proof of the prime number theorem. *Acta Arithmetica*, 1971, 18, 83-86.